

2,2'-Dichloro-1,1'-[(propane-1,3-diylidenoxy)bis(nitrilomethylidene)]dibenzene

Xue-Ni He, Wen-Kui Dong,* Wen-Juan Bai, Hai-Bo Yan and Zhong-Wu Lv

School of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Lanzhou Jiaotong University, Lanzhou 730070, People's Republic of China
Correspondence e-mail: dongwk@mail.lzjtu.cn


Received 22 June 2008; accepted 13 July 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 298$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.004$ Å; R factor = 0.042; wR factor = 0.087; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.2.

The title compound, $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{16}\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$, assumes a V-shape configuration with a dihedral angle between the two halves of the molecule of $79.60(4)^\circ$. The asymmetric unit comprises one half-molecule with a crystallographic twofold rotation axis passing through the central C atom. There are weak intermolecular $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions between neighbouring benzene rings with intermolecular plane-to-plane distances of $3.277(6)$ and $3.465(5)$ Å along the a and c axes, respectively. In the crystal structure, weak intermolecular C—H...O bonds link each molecule to four others to form an infinite three-dimensional network.

Related literature

For related literature, see: Campbell *et al.* (2001); Dong *et al.* (2006); Dong, Ding *et al.* (2008); Dong, He *et al.* (2008); Duan *et al.* (2007); Mohand *et al.* (1995); Morris *et al.* (2001); Shi *et al.* (2007).



Experimental

Crystal data

$\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{16}\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$
 $M_r = 351.22$
Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12$
 $a = 6.5218(7)$ Å

$b = 28.586(3)$ Å
 $c = 4.5120(6)$ Å
 $V = 841.17(17)$ Å³
 $Z = 2$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 0.40$ mm⁻¹

$T = 298(2)$ K
 $0.45 \times 0.18 \times 0.15$ mm

Data collection

Siemens SMART 1000 CCD area-detector diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
 $T_{\min} = 0.842$, $T_{\max} = 0.943$

3761 measured reflections
1495 independent reflections
1111 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.047$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.086$
 $S = 1.04$
1495 reflections
105 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained

$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.17$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.17$ e Å⁻³
Absolute structure: Flack (1983),
565 Friedel pairs
Flack parameter: $-0.02(11)$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$\text{C8}-\text{H8}\cdots\text{O1}^i$	0.93	2.55	3.479 (3)	173

Symmetry code: (i) $x + 1, y, z - 1$.

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

This work was supported by the Foundation of the Education Department of Gansu Province (No. 0604-01) and the 'Qing Lan' Talent Engineering Funds of Lanzhou Jiaotong University (No. QL-03-01 A), which are gratefully acknowledged.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FL2205).

References

- Campbell, E. J., Zhou, H. & Nguyen, S. T. (2001). *Org. Lett.* **3**, 2391–2393.
Dong, W.-K., Ding, Y.-J., Luo, Y.-L., Lv, Z.-W. & Wang, L. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **E64**, o1324.
Dong, W. K., Feng, J. H. & Yang, X. Q. (2006). *Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct.* **221**, 447–448.
Dong, W.-K., He, X.-N., Zhong, J.-K., Chen, X. & Yu, T.-Z. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **E64**, o1098.
Duan, J.-G., Dong, C.-M., Shi, J.-Y., Wu, L. & Dong, W.-K. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* **E63**, o2704–o2705.
Flack, H. D. (1983). *Acta Cryst.* **A39**, 876–881.
Mohand, S. A., Levina, A. & Muzart, J. (1995). *J. Chem. Res. (S)*, **25**, 2051–2058.
Morris, G. A., Zhou, H., Stern, C. L. & Nguyen, S. T. (2001). *Inorg. Chem.* **40**, 3222–3227.
Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
Shi, J., Dong, W., Zhang, Y. & Gao, S. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* **E63**, o4080.
Siemens (1996). SMART and SAINT. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, o1532 [doi:10.1107/S1600536808021739]

2,2'-Dichloro-1,1'-[(propane-1,3-diylldioxy)bis(nitrilomethylidyne)]dibenzene

X.-N. He, W.-K. Dong, W.-J. Bai, H.-B. Yan and Z.-W. Lv

Comment

Particular attention has been paid to the synthesis and study of Schiff base compounds. This is due to a variety of reasons, not the least of which is their wide application in the fields of biochemistry, synthesis and catalysis (Mohand *et al.*, 1995; Campbell *et al.*, 2001), e. g., they can easily form stable complexes with transition metal ions (Morris *et al.*, 2001). Although a great number of Schiff base compounds and their complexes have been studied crystallographically, there are only a very limited number of reports about Schiff base bisoxime compounds (Dong, Ding *et al.*, 2008; Shi *et al.*, 2007). Here we report the synthesis and crystal structure of (I) which is a bisoxime type compound.

The molecule (Fig. 1) assumes a V shape with a dihedral angle of $79.60(4)^\circ$ between the two halves of the molecule. There is 1/2 molecule per asymmetric unit with a crystallographic twofold rotation axis passing through the central carbon (symmetry code: $-x, -y, z$) of the C1—C2—C1' unit. This structure is similar to that observed in our previously reported salen-type bisoxime compounds (Duan *et al.*, 2007, Dong, He *et al.*, 2008). There are weak intermolecular π - π stacking interactions between neighbouring benzene rings with intermolecular plane-to-plane distances of 3.277 (6) and 3.465 (5) Å along the *a* and *c* axes, respectively (Fig. 2). In the crystal structure, weak intermolecular C—H \cdots O hydrogen bonds (Table 1) link each molecule to 4 others to form an infinite three-dimensional network, which is different from the crystal structure of 3,3'-dibromo-1,1'-[(propane-1,3-diylldioxy)bis(nitrilomethylidyne)]dibenzene, in which the molecules exhibit a zigzag chain array along the *a* axis formed by weak intermolecular C—H \cdots C hydrogen bonds (Dong, Ding *et al.*, 2008).

Experimental

The title compound was synthesized according to an analogous method reported earlier (Shi *et al.*, 2007; Dong *et al.*, 2006; Dong, Ding *et al.*, 2008). To an ethanol solution (4 ml) of 2-chlorobenzaldehyde (421.2 mg, 3.00 mmol) was added an ethanol solution (4 ml) of 1,3-bis(aminoxy)propane (155.8 mg, 1.49 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 328 K for 4 h after which the resulting precipitate was separated by filtration, and washed successively with ethanol and ethanol-hexane (1:4). The product was dried under vacuum to yield 284.7 mg of the title compound. Yield, 55.0%. mp. 361–362 K. Anal. Calc. for C₁₇H₁₆Cl₂N₂O₂: C, 58.13; H, 4.59; N, 7.98. Found: C, 58.19; H, 4.67; N, 7.82.

Colorless needle-like single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained after several weeks by slow evaporation from an ethanol-chloroform solution.

Refinement

Non-H atoms were refined anisotropically. H atoms were treated as riding atoms with distances C—H = 0.97 (CH₂), 0.93 Å (CH), and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2 U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ and $1.5 U_{\text{eq}}(\text{O})$.

Figures




Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the title compound with atom numbering scheme [Symmetry codes: $-x + 1, -y, z$]. Displacement ellipsoids for non-hydrogen atoms are drawn at the 30% probability level.



Fig. 2. The V shape configuration of the title compound.

2,2'-Dichloro-1,1'-[(propane-1,3-diylldioxy)bis(nitrilomethylidene)]dibenzene

Crystal data

$C_{17}H_{16}Cl_2N_2O_2$	$F_{000} = 364$
$M_r = 351.22$	$D_x = 1.387 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Hall symbol: P 2 2ab	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
$a = 6.5218 (7) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 1292 reflections
$b = 28.586 (3) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 2.9\text{--}22.2^\circ$
$c = 4.5120 (6) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 0.40 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$V = 841.17 (17) \text{ \AA}^3$	$T = 298 (2) \text{ K}$
$Z = 2$	Needle-like, colorless
	$0.45 \times 0.18 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Siemens SMART 1000 CCD area-detector diffractometer	1495 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1111 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.047$
$T = 298(2) \text{ K}$	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.0^\circ$
φ and ω scans	$\theta_{\text{min}} = 1.4^\circ$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$h = -7 \rightarrow 7$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.842, T_{\text{max}} = 0.943$	$k = -17 \rightarrow 34$
3761 measured reflections	$l = -5 \rightarrow 5$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
Least-squares matrix: full	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0338P)^2]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.086$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$S = 1.05$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
1495 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.17 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.17 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$

105 parameters
 Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
 Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
 Extinction correction: none
 Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 565 Friedel pairs
 Flack parameter: -0.02 (11)

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$	Occ. (<1)
C11	0.68069 (12)	0.20827 (3)	0.4175 (2)	0.0826 (3)	
N1	0.8042 (3)	0.06911 (7)	0.7415 (5)	0.0441 (5)	
O1	0.6419 (2)	0.05622 (5)	0.9278 (5)	0.0502 (5)	
C1	0.6858 (3)	0.01262 (8)	1.0698 (6)	0.0454 (6)	
H1A	0.8046	0.0157	1.1976	0.054*	
H1B	0.7137	-0.0114	0.9232	0.054*	
C2	0.5000	0.0000	1.2484 (8)	0.0435 (10)	
H2A	0.5342	-0.0262	1.3754	0.052*	0.50
H2B	0.4658	0.0262	1.3754	0.052*	0.50
C3	0.7749 (4)	0.10932 (8)	0.6332 (6)	0.0489 (8)	
H3	0.6573	0.1258	0.6850	0.059*	
C4	0.9206 (3)	0.13043 (8)	0.4297 (7)	0.0414 (6)	
C5	0.8922 (4)	0.17493 (9)	0.3130 (7)	0.0467 (8)	
C6	1.0293 (5)	0.19465 (9)	0.1179 (7)	0.0583 (8)	
H6	1.0059	0.2245	0.0427	0.070*	
C7	1.2002 (5)	0.17021 (10)	0.0352 (8)	0.0674 (9)	
H7	1.2933	0.1832	-0.0975	0.081*	
C8	1.2334 (4)	0.12619 (10)	0.1497 (7)	0.0650 (9)	
H8	1.3500	0.1096	0.0950	0.078*	
C9	1.0964 (4)	0.10670 (9)	0.3433 (7)	0.0542 (8)	
H9	1.1216	0.0770	0.4185	0.065*	

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C11	0.0880 (6)	0.0630 (5)	0.0967 (7)	0.0250 (4)	0.0168 (6)	0.0231 (5)
N1	0.0454 (12)	0.0429 (12)	0.0439 (13)	-0.0079 (11)	0.0078 (13)	-0.0029 (12)
O1	0.0508 (10)	0.0440 (9)	0.0559 (12)	-0.0012 (8)	0.0120 (11)	0.0089 (10)
C1	0.0526 (14)	0.0393 (13)	0.0443 (16)	-0.0049 (13)	-0.0008 (17)	0.0024 (14)

supplementary materials

C2	0.053 (2)	0.039 (2)	0.038 (2)	-0.0065 (17)	0.000	0.000
C3	0.0517 (15)	0.0422 (14)	0.053 (2)	0.0016 (12)	0.0094 (16)	0.0028 (15)
C4	0.0450 (13)	0.0407 (13)	0.0384 (16)	-0.0069 (11)	-0.0002 (14)	-0.0028 (14)
C5	0.0566 (16)	0.0401 (13)	0.043 (2)	-0.0058 (13)	-0.0002 (14)	-0.0009 (14)
C6	0.079 (2)	0.0477 (16)	0.048 (2)	-0.0134 (16)	-0.0004 (18)	0.0070 (17)
C7	0.0670 (19)	0.073 (2)	0.063 (2)	-0.0248 (17)	0.019 (2)	0.0038 (19)
C8	0.0588 (18)	0.0610 (17)	0.075 (3)	-0.0040 (15)	0.0171 (18)	-0.0052 (19)
C9	0.0550 (15)	0.0454 (14)	0.062 (2)	-0.0001 (13)	0.0108 (16)	-0.0042 (16)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—C5	1.742 (3)	C3—H3	0.9300
N1—C3	1.264 (3)	C4—C9	1.388 (3)
N1—O1	1.401 (2)	C4—C5	1.389 (3)
O1—C1	1.430 (3)	C5—C6	1.376 (4)
C1—C2	1.499 (3)	C6—C7	1.367 (4)
C1—H1A	0.9700	C6—H6	0.9300
C1—H1B	0.9700	C7—C8	1.377 (4)
C2—C1 ⁱ	1.499 (3)	C7—H7	0.9300
C2—H2A	0.9700	C8—C9	1.368 (4)
C2—H2B	0.9700	C8—H8	0.9300
C3—C4	1.453 (3)	C9—H9	0.9300
C3—N1—O1	110.9 (2)	C9—C4—C3	121.0 (2)
N1—O1—C1	110.30 (16)	C5—C4—C3	122.2 (2)
O1—C1—C2	106.78 (17)	C6—C5—C4	122.1 (3)
O1—C1—H1A	110.4	C6—C5—C11	117.6 (2)
C2—C1—H1A	110.4	C4—C5—C11	120.3 (2)
O1—C1—H1B	110.4	C7—C6—C5	119.7 (3)
C2—C1—H1B	110.4	C7—C6—H6	120.2
H1A—C1—H1B	108.6	C5—C6—H6	120.2
C1 ⁱ —C2—C1	115.0 (3)	C6—C7—C8	119.5 (3)
C1 ⁱ —C2—H2A	108.5	C6—C7—H7	120.3
C1—C2—H2A	108.5	C8—C7—H7	120.3
C1 ⁱ —C2—H2B	108.5	C9—C8—C7	120.6 (3)
C1—C2—H2B	108.5	C9—C8—H8	119.7
H2A—C2—H2B	107.5	C7—C8—H8	119.7
N1—C3—C4	121.6 (2)	C8—C9—C4	121.3 (3)
N1—C3—H3	119.2	C8—C9—H9	119.3
C4—C3—H3	119.2	C4—C9—H9	119.3
C9—C4—C5	116.8 (3)		
C3—N1—O1—C1	-174.7 (2)	C3—C4—C5—C11	2.0 (4)
N1—O1—C1—C2	-176.4 (2)	C4—C5—C6—C7	-0.3 (4)
O1—C1—C2—C1 ⁱ	68.74 (16)	C11—C5—C6—C7	178.4 (2)
O1—N1—C3—C4	-179.3 (2)	C5—C6—C7—C8	-0.4 (5)
N1—C3—C4—C9	1.0 (4)	C6—C7—C8—C9	0.5 (5)
N1—C3—C4—C5	-178.8 (3)	C7—C8—C9—C4	0.1 (4)
C9—C4—C5—C6	0.8 (4)	C5—C4—C9—C8	-0.7 (4)
C3—C4—C5—C6	-179.3 (2)	C3—C4—C9—C8	179.4 (2)

C9—C4—C5—C11 -177.9 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1, -y, z$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
C8—H8 \cdots O1 ⁱⁱ	0.93	2.55	3.479 (3)	173

Symmetry codes: (ii) $x+1, y, z-1$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

